

Ethical Considerations in Sociovirtualization: Balancing Privacy and Social Connection

Ibrahim Kurt*

Abstract

This is a thorough literature review analysis of the moral dilemmas surrounding sociovirtualization and striking a balance between social interaction and privacy in virtual environments. By providing digital identities, virtual societies, and virtual experiences, sociovirtual sites alter social relationships and raise difficult moral dilemmas. While social contact issues focus on authenticity, access, emotional well-being, and digital divisions, privacy issues involve data collection, informed permission, anonymity, and unequal powers. Case studies, ethical theories, and governance models are used to investigate conflicts between the preservation of privacy and the promotion of authentic social connection.

Important conclusions include the interdependence of privacy and social connection, the necessity of transparency, user-centric design, and regulatory involvement. The article's conclusion highlights the need of interdisciplinary cooperation in creating sociovirtual environments that uphold individual rights and encourage inclusive, sincere social interaction. Future research should focus on developing policies, bridging digital divides, and emerging technology.

Keywords: Sociovirtualization, Digital Ethics, Privacy, Social Connection, Virtual Environments, Digital Divide



 <https://doi.org/10.31039/ljss.2026.11.364>

*Stichting Cosmicus- The Netherlands



1. Introduction

Human connection is increasingly mediated through virtual platforms in the digital age, leading to what academics call sociovirtualization—the use of digital technologies to change social relationships, identities, and communities (Kurt, 2012). Sociovirtual spaces, ranging from virtual meeting platforms and social networking sites to immersive metaverse experiences, have become essential to educational, professional, and personal life. These platforms provide significant ethical issues, especially with regard to the conflict between personal privacy and the universal need for social connection, even as they provide unmatched chances for participation and interaction.

Personal data collection, analysis, and utilization have increased exponentially as a result of the development of digital technology (Saura, et al. 2025). Users frequently move through sociovirtual environments without completely comprehending how their actions are monitored and made profitable or how much of their data is being collected.

The evolution of digital technologies has led to an exponential increase in the collection, analysis, and use of personal data (Romão, 2025). Users often navigate sociovirtual environments without fully understanding the extent to which their data is collected or how their behaviors are tracked and monetized. However, social interaction in these settings frequently hinges on individuals' readiness to divulge private information, participate in online forums, and create virtual personas. This leads to a basic ethical conflict: people are more susceptible to monitoring, exploitation, and loss of autonomy the more they participate in sociovirtual life (Tripathi& Malik, 2025).

The ethical issues that arise when privacy and social connection collide in sociovirtual settings are examined in this essay. It aims to comprehend how the lines separating private and public life are shaped by digital platforms and how people and societies might appropriately cross these lines. This investigation's central ethical challenge is: In increasingly digitalized surroundings, how can we strike a balance between the human desire for social connection and the right to privacy?

Global events like the COVID-19 pandemic, which hastened the use of remote communication technology and brought attention to the advantages and disadvantages of sociovirtual interaction, have made the relevance of this subject even more evident (Kurt, 2023). Digital platforms increased worries about data security, online manipulation, and unequal access to technology, even as they allowed people to stay socially linked during times of physical isolation. The consequences of sociovirtualization for individual rights and social well-being have drawn increased attention from ethicists, legislators, and technologists as a result of these advancements.

In order to summarize the body of scholarly and multidisciplinary research on the moral implications of sociovirtualization, this article uses a literature review methodology.

The review attempts to identify important discussions, theoretical frameworks, and useful suggestions for dealing with the difficulties presented by sociovirtual settings by referencing materials from disciplines like digital ethics, media studies, sociology, information technology, and philosophy. In addition to drawing attention to ethical issues, the goal is to provide guidance on how design, policy, and user awareness might be used to solve them in a positive way.

The format is as follows: to make the main concepts and theoretical foundations more understandable, a conceptual framework is initially offered. After a separate analysis of the



ethical aspects of privacy and social connection, the intersections and conflicts between these aspects are discussed. The essay ends with some important observations, advice, and ideas for more research in this important and developing area.

2. Conceptual Foundations

Gaining a thorough grasp of the fundamental ideas of sociovirtualization, privacy, and social connection is necessary in order to investigate the ethical issues in this field in a meaningful way. Furthermore, the intellectual foundation required to assess the moral implications of virtual connection is provided by the ethical frameworks that guide these conversations.

2.1 Sociovirtualization

The term "sociovirtualization" describes how social life is becoming more and more integrated with virtual settings, where digital platforms mediate and frequently redefine identity formation, community involvement, and interpersonal connections (Kurt, 2024a). Social media networks, virtual reality (VR), video conferencing tools, and online collaboration platforms are just a few of the many technologies that are included in the notion. The term "sociovirtualization" refers to a shift in social norms, behaviors, and expectations brought on by virtual affordances, rather than just the digital replication of in-person encounters.

2.2 Privacy in Digital Environments

In the digital age, privacy encompasses more than just the management of personal data; it also includes freedom from monitoring, the right to autonomy, and the defense against improper use of data. Researchers distinguish between three types of privacy that can be jeopardized in sociovirtual spaces: associational, decisional, and informational (Brey, 2025). Control over one's data, including communication logs, biometric identifiers, and surfing history, is known as informational privacy. While associational privacy refers to the freedom to interact with people without excessive monitoring or profiling, decisional privacy deals with the capacity to make personal decisions free from pressure or manipulation. These qualities are often threatened in sociovirtual settings by algorithmic profiling, opaque data regulations, and the monetization of user behavior.

2.3 Social Connection in Virtual Contexts

Emotional intimacy, meaningful conversation, and a sense of belonging are all considered aspects of social connection. This link is frequently made possible in sociovirtual contexts by mediated interactions, which can be synchronous (like video conversations) or asynchronous (like social media posts). Digital platforms pose questions about authenticity, performance, and the strength of virtual bonds even as they provide resources for building communities and preserving long-distance relationships (Kurt, 2024b; Maihasni et al. 2025). Despite high levels of connectedness, there is a rising debate regarding whether sociovirtual interactions can replace face-to-face interaction or if they run the danger of encouraging loneliness and superficial bonds.

2.4 Ethical Frameworks

Normative ethical theories must be used in order to analyze the moral dilemmas raised by sociovirtualization. According to utilitarianism, which judges deeds according to their results,



ubiquitous connectedness is frequently preferred when it maximizes well-being. In contrast, deontological ethics emphasizes that individual rights, especially the right to privacy, are unalienable regardless of the advantages to the group (Litschka, 2025). Virtue ethics emphasizes virtues like honesty, respect, and empathy in digital interactions while considering the moral character of users and creators (Rahman, 2025). Furthermore, new methods that aim to include moral values in the creation of technology from the beginning include value-sensitive design and digital ethics by design.

A rigorous examination of the moral conundrums at the core of sociovirtualization is made possible by these conceptual underpinnings taken together. These ideas will be applied to the difficulties pertaining to social interaction and privacy in virtual environments in the sections that follow.

3. Methodology

This article employs a **systematic literature review** methodology to examine the ethical dimensions of sociovirtualization, particularly focusing on the balance between privacy and social connection in virtual environments. A literature review is an appropriate method for this study because it allows for a comprehensive synthesis of existing research across multiple disciplines, including digital ethics, media studies, information technology, sociology, and philosophy. The goal is not only to map the current state of knowledge but also to identify recurring themes, conceptual frameworks, ethical debates, and research gaps relevant to this emerging field.

3.1 Research Questions

The ethical aspects of sociovirtualization are examined in this paper utilizing a methodical literature review approach, with a special emphasis on striking a balance between social interaction and privacy in virtual settings. Because it enables a thorough synthesis of previous research from a variety of fields, including digital ethics, media studies, information technology, sociology, and philosophy, literature review is a suitable methodology for this topic. Along with mapping the current state of knowledge, the objective is to find recurrent themes, conceptual frameworks, ethical discussions, and research needs that are pertinent to this new topic.

The sources were chosen using particular inclusion and exclusion criteria to guarantee academic rigor and relevance: The inclusion criteria involve English-language literature; peer-reviewed journal articles, scholarly books, and reputable conference proceedings published after 2010 studies that focus on the ethical, philosophical, or policy-oriented facets of digital privacy, virtual interaction, or sociovirtual platforms.

Exclusion criteria are about non-academic sources; research that only addressed privacy or connectivity without relating to virtual or digital environments; articles that lacked theoretical or ethical analysis (such as solely technical reporting).

The search was conducted using the databases JSTOR, Web of Science, Scopus, and Google Scholar. Combinations of keywords and Boolean operators included:

- “sociovirtualization” AND “ethics”
- “digital privacy” AND “virtual platforms”



- “online social connection” AND “ethical considerations”
- “virtual identity” AND “data protection”

3.2. Analytical Approach

Following the collection of pertinent sources, a theme analysis was carried out in order to identify and classify the main ethical issues. Each text was analyzed for:

- Describes ethical conundrums or trade-offs in sociovirtual contexts.
- Provides definitions and conceptual usage of terminology like privacy, identity, connection, and ethics;
- Suggested design solutions, ethical frameworks, or policy suggestions.

The next sections provide a detailed analysis of the themes, which were categorized under two key domains: social connection in virtual environments and privacy in sociovirtual contexts.

3.3. Limitations

There are various restrictions on this review. First, using only English-language sources could leave out pertinent research that has been done in other languages. Second, despite the review's cross-disciplinary scope, it does not address every potential technological or cultural difference in sociovirtual activities across international contexts. Finally, some of the ethical issues highlighted may become less relevant over time due to the continuously changing nature of digital platforms. The review offers an organized and multidisciplinary summary of the main ethical issues in the subject in spite of these drawbacks.

4. Ethical Dimensions of Privacy in Sociovirtual Spaces

In sociovirtual contexts, where digital identities and personal data are constantly generated, exchanged, and examined, privacy is a fundamental ethical concern. The emergence of sociovirtual platforms, such as social media and immersive virtual reality, has made it more difficult to maintain anonymity while facilitating deep social interaction. With an emphasis on data collection, informed permission, anonymity, and power disparities, this section examines the complex ethical concerns related to privacy in sociovirtual situations.

Large volumes of user data, such as location data, behavioral patterns, social interactions, and personal identifiers, are often gathered by sociovirtual platforms. Although this data makes social connectivity and personalized experiences possible, it also brings up serious ethical issues with data abuse and surveillance. According to academics, people frequently don't realize how much of their data is collected or used for targeted advertising, business purposes, or even political influence (Islam, 2024). This lack of openness violates users' autonomy and erodes confidence.

The moral conundrum is how to balance users' rights to privacy and control over their information with the data-monetization-based revenue strategies of many digital platforms. Increased accountability and openness are demanded in the literature, along with explicit privacy policies and impartial oversight procedures.

Informed permission, which requires users to understand what data is being collected, how it will be used, and with whom it may be shared, is a crucial ethical need in sociovirtual



settings. However, studies show that permission procedures on digital platforms are frequently insufficient because consumers rarely read privacy regulations in their whole due to their length and complexity (Chomanski, 2025). This calls into question whether permission can be a valid ethical precaution in these kinds of situations and what its meaning is.

According to the literature, designers and legislators ought to give user-friendly consent procedures top priority and take a privacy-by-design stance, incorporating privacy safeguards into the structure of sociovirtual platforms rather than considering them as an afterthought (Lindholm et al. 2024).

In sociovirtual environments, anonymity and pseudonymity provide users with a certain amount of privacy and freedom, enabling them to express themselves and explore identities without worrying about the consequences in the real world. Anonymity can, however, also encourage negative actions like trolling, harassment, and disinformation, creating moral conundrums over how to strike a balance between community safety and privacy (Tariq, 2025).

Furthermore, even though digital footprints are anonymous, they may still be tracked down using advanced algorithms and metadata, making it more difficult for users to manage their online persona. The necessity of open data practices and technology that facilitate user agencies over their digital identities is brought to light by ethical discussions.

Sociovirtual settings tend to benefit major technological businesses that have the resources and skill to gather, analyze, and profit from user data, resulting in an unbalanced control over personal data. Digital inequality, loss of autonomy, and user exploitation are ethical issues brought on by this imbalance.

Regulations that safeguard users' privacy rights and advance fair data governance are crucial, according to the literature (Borgesius et al. 2015). To enable people to own and even benefit from their own data, some academics support user-centered data ownership and data sovereignty models.

5. Ethical Dimensions of Social Connection in Virtual Environments

A basic human need is social connection, and sociovirtual platforms are becoming more and more important in enabling connections, groups, and interactions beyond geographical boundaries. These platforms provide previously unheard-of chances for interaction, but they also bring up significant moral dilemmas regarding the character, standard, and effects of virtual sociality. Key ethical issues pertaining to emotional health, inclusion, authenticity, and digital disparities in sociovirtual social interactions are examined in this context (Maloney et al. 2021).

Reducing social isolation and promoting inclusion are two of the main ethical advantages of sociovirtual platforms, particularly for those who are unable to connect in person because of social, health, or geographic limitations. Because they offer safe venues for political involvement, community development, and self-expression, virtual environments may strengthen underrepresented populations (Sasidharan, 2025).

Since digital platforms may increase participation possibilities and promote mental health, fostering social connections is ethically consistent with the goals of social justice and human



dignity. To achieve these advantages, though, thoughtful design that respects a range of demands and guarantees accessibility is necessary.

The degree to which people's digital personas and communications accurately represent their actual personalities and intents is known as authenticity in sociovirtual interactions. Online interactions that promote performance, dishonesty, or manipulation raise ethical questions because they have the potential to erode real connections and trust (Romanishyn et al. 2025). The question of whether virtual relationships may be as genuine and profound as in-person ones is up for dispute in literature. Others warn that digital contacts may promote superficial involvement or detachment through mediated communication, while others contend that sociovirtual environments enable fuller self-exploration and community creation.

The goal of sociovirtual platforms is to increase user participation, often at the price of users' independence and welfare. Practices that take advantage of psychological weaknesses, including algorithms that promote sensationalist material, echo chambers, or addictive habits, give rise to ethical dilemmas.

By strengthening prejudices, disseminating false information, and escalating social division, these dynamics have the potential to skew social connections. Transparency in algorithmic procedures, the encouragement of varied material, and protections against detrimental usage patterns are all required by ethical design principles (Radanliev, 2025).

Although social connections can be strengthened through sociovirtual platforms, unequal access to technology and digital literacy raises ethical questions about digital inequality. People's capacity to fully engage in sociovirtual life may be limited by socioeconomic inequalities, geographic constraints, and disability.

It is morally necessary to address these discrepancies in order to make sure that sociovirtualization promotes inclusive and equitable social connections rather than perpetuating current social injustices.

6. Balancing the Tension: Privacy vs. Social Connection

In sociovirtual settings, the relationship between privacy and social interaction creates a difficult moral conundrum. While providing personal information and being visible to others is frequently necessary for effective social involvement, privacy safeguards demand control over how that information is used and shared. In order to balance these conflicting needs, this part analyzes how this tension appears in reality, looks at case studies, and talks about ethical tactics and design principles.

In order to promote interaction and cooperation, sociovirtual platforms urge users to divulge personal information, preferences, and social networks. Increased self-disclosure, however, may put people in danger for things like harassment, profiling, and identity theft. For instance, in order to maintain their social presence, social media users could feel compelled to reveal more than they are comfortable with, and privacy violations can have negative consequences in the real world.

Furthermore, even while algorithms that curate social material aim to improve social experiences, their design frequently necessitates access to vast amounts of user data, which raises privacy concerns (Arockia Venice et al. 2025). This leads to a trade-off between protecting sensitive data and individualized social interaction.



Popular platforms provide a good illustration of these difficulties. Facebook, a well-known social networking site, has frequently come under fire for how it handles user data and the morality of its targeted advertising. Global social connections are made easier by it, but user confidence has been damaged by privacy issues.

During the COVID-19 epidemic, video conferencing platforms like Zoom were indispensable for facilitating remote personal and professional communication (Kurt, 2023). However, worries about "Zoom bombing" and illegal data sharing have exposed gaps in user privacy protection.

As immersive virtual environments gather biometric information and allow for lasting digital identities, emerging metaverse applications provide additional levels of complexity and bring up new privacy and ethical concerns around presence and monitoring.

In order to resolve these issues, academics and professionals support value-sensitive design methodologies that incorporate ethical concerns into the development process as well as privacy by design. Instead of retrofitting privacy safeguards, these frameworks place an emphasis on integrating them from the beginning and bringing technology into line with human values like justice, autonomy, and trust (Prashant, 2025).

Furthermore, user empowerment is essential—giving people precise, detailed privacy settings and encouraging digital literacy empowers them to make knowledgeable decisions regarding their data and social media presence. Accountability also depends on ethical governance frameworks, such as industry norms and legal regulations.

Strong legislative and regulatory frameworks that preserve individual liberties and foster social innovation are necessary for striking an effective balance. Important guidelines for permission, openness, and data minimization are established by laws like the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) of the European Union (Renuka et al. 2025). To stay up with new developments in technology and sociovirtual behaviors, policies must constantly change. It is becoming more widely acknowledged that multi-stakeholder governance models—which involve platform developers, users, legislators, and civil society—are essential for tackling the intricate ethical issues raised by sociovirtualization.

7. Key Insights from Literature

Numerous important insights on the ethical issues in sociovirtualization are revealed by the studied literature, particularly with regard to striking a balance between social connection and privacy. These observations highlight the intricacies of the digital world and provide direction for further study, planning, and legislation.

The interdependence of social connection and privacy is a recurring issue in literature. The quality of relationships and trust in virtual settings are influenced by privacy, which is not just an individual right but also a communal value (Hammer&Luse, 2025). In a similar vein, social interaction necessitates a level of transparency and vulnerability that goes against complete privacy. Therefore, rather than regarding privacy and social connection as mutually incompatible, ethical frameworks need to take this dynamic interaction into consideration.

The body of research significantly supports value-sensitive and user-centered design methodologies. By offering user-friendly privacy settings and openness about data usage, technologies should be developed to respect and strengthen users' autonomy. Encouraging



people to make knowledgeable choices about their data promotes privacy and genuine social interaction. Design choices that put moral principles first can lessen the likelihood of manipulation and exploitation.

Ethical sociovirtualization still relies heavily on meaningful informed consent and effective transparency. Numerous studies, however, draw attention to the present shortcomings in permission procedures and privacy regulations that make it more difficult for users to comprehend and manage their digital footprint (Kaku, 2024). Rebuilding user trust and encouraging ethical involvement needs clear, understandable, and context-sensitive communication regarding data practices.

The importance of power imbalances between platform providers and users is emphasized in the literature (Godefroid et al. 2024). Large digital firms frequently have an outsized amount of influence over platform governance and data, which can result in user abuse and diminished autonomy. Stronger legal frameworks, support for digital rights, and investigation of other data governance models like user-owned data or data cooperatives are all necessary to address these disparities.

Through content filtering and social network structuring, algorithms are essential in forming sociovirtual experiences. Algorithms present ethical hazards such as prejudice, manipulation, and the deterioration of diversity, even if they might improve social connections by tailoring material (Arockia Venice et al. 2025). In order to reduce damages and foster positive social settings, literature advocates for increased algorithmic transparency, accountability, and integration of ethical principles.

Lastly, researchers point out that enduring digital divisions restrict the ethical potential of sociovirtualization. Concerns regarding equitable participation are raised by the ways that socioeconomic class, geography, age, and ability affect access to technology and digital literacy. Strategies to close these gaps and provide equitable access to sociovirtual environments must be a part of ethical approaches.

8. Conclusion and Future Directions

The ethical issues raised by sociovirtualization have been discussed in this essay, with particular attention paid to the delicate balance between social interaction and privacy. It is already evident from a thorough analysis of the literature that sociovirtual settings present both enormous ethical difficulties and deep potential. To guarantee that virtual social spaces continue to be secure, welcoming, and considerate of individual rights, these issues require constant attention from academics, designers, legislators, and users alike.

The theoretical underpinnings emphasize how sociovirtualization alters conventional social interactions and creates new ethical conundrums pertaining to data governance, identity, and autonomy. The multifaceted nature of privacy in these settings includes associational, decisional, and informational components, all of which are often jeopardized by unbalanced power dynamics and opaque data practices. On the other hand, whereas virtual platforms have enormous potential to improve social connection, lessen isolation, and encourage inclusion, they also run the danger of encouraging manipulation, shallow connections, and digital exclusion.



It takes sophisticated strategies that use ethical design concepts like privacy by design, transparency, and user empowerment to strike a balance between privacy and social connection. Furthermore, resolving the ongoing digital gap is essential to guaranteeing fair access and involvement in sociovirtual life.

Looking ahead, a number of ways for further study and advancement become apparent for future directions. Empirical studies that look at how people see and manage privacy and social connection in various sociovirtual contexts, -including newly developing immersive environments-are needed. Further exploration of how ethical frameworks can be operationalized in platform design, particularly in the integration of AI and biometric data, is critical. To handle emerging kinds of data usage, surveillance, and digital identity management, legal and regulatory frameworks must be continuously assessed and modified. To promote genuinely inclusive sociovirtual environments, research and policy should place high priority on lowering digital disparities.

To sum up, the ethical issues surrounding sociovirtualization are intricate and dynamic. This paper emphasizes the value of multidisciplinary cooperation in developing virtual environments that respect human dignity, autonomy, and meaningful sociality by emphasizing both privacy and social connection. Continued discussion, ethical attention to detail, and dedication to human-centered technology development will be necessary for responsible sociovirtualization.

Acknowledgement

This paper was presented at the 17th London International Conference, held in hybrid format (online and virtual) on November 26–28, 2025, and was subsequently published in the conference proceedings entitled *Proceedings of London International Conferences*, No. 15 (2025).



References

- Borgesius, F. Z., Gray, J., & van Eechoud, M. (2015). Open Data, Privacy, and Fair Information Principles: Towards a Balancing Framework. *Berkeley Technology Law Journal*, 30(3), 2073–2131. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/26377585>
- Brey, P. (2025). Will the Metaverse Respect Our Rights?. In: *The Metaverse: A Critical Assessment*. SpringerBriefs in Ethics. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-93471-1_4
- Chomanski, B. (2025). The challenge of regulating digital privacy. *Critical Review of International Social and Political Philosophy*, 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13698230.2025.2478725>
- Godefroid, M. E., Borghoff, V., Plattfaut, R., & Niehaves, B. (2024). Structural power imbalances in global organisations: analysing IT governance from a postcolonial perspective. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 34(3), 529–550. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0960085X.2024.2325358>
- Hammer, B., & Luse, A. (2025). Information Sharing as a Multidimensional, Dyadic Phenomenon: A Multilevel Study of Multiplex Relationships, Privacy, and Trust. *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, 56, 763-788. <https://doi.org/10.17705/1CAIS.05629>
- Islam, Md. A. (2024). Impact of Big Data Analytics on Digital Marketing: Academic Review (January 01, 2024). *Journal of Electrical Systems*, volume 20, issue 5s, [10.52783/jes.2327], Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=5284168>
- Kaku, S. (2024). Navigating the Digital Landscape: Understanding and Managing Your Digital Footprint. *Global Media Journal; Hammond Vol. 22, Iss. 68*, 1-3. DOI:10.36648/1550-7521.22.68.429
- Kurt, I. (2023). Covid-19 and sociovirtualization: Exploring new ways to socialize. *London Journal of Social Sciences*, (7), 23–36. <https://doi.org/10.31039/ljss.2024.7.186>
- Kurt, I. (2024a). Exploring the Journey of Sociovirtualization: Understanding the Process of Socialization in a Virtual Context. *London Journal of Interdisciplinary Sciences*, (2), 38–51. <https://doi.org/10.31039/ljis.2024.2.225>
- Kurt, I. (2024b). The Role of Socialization Agents in Sociovirtualization: Bridging the Gap between Virtual and Real-world Interactions. *London Journal of Social Sciences*, (8), 8–21. <https://doi.org/10.31039/ljss.2024.8.197>
- Kurt, İ. (2012). Toplumsallaşma Sürecinin ‘Toplumsanallaşma’ Bağlamındaki Yolculuğu. *Bayburt Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi*, 7 (1), 1-10. <https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/befdergi/issue/23151/247282>
- Lindholm, M., Wählberg, A., Hänström, A., Behtoui, A., Sjöholm, E., Ye, L., Eriksson, W. (2024). Development of an e-commerce platform for patches using navigability, design and user-trust (Dissertation). Retrieved from <https://urn.kb.se/resolve?urn=urn:nbn:se:liu:diva-205802>
- Litschka, M. (2025). "AI Ethics and the Capability Approach." *Genealogy+Critique* 11, no. 1: 1–16. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.16995/gc.18499>



- Maihasni, M., Fachrina, F., Anggraini, N., & Ariesta, A. (2025). Social Cohesion and Social Media Threats to Families in the Digital Era. *Society*, 13(2), 796-813. <https://doi.org/10.33019/society.v13i2.833>
- Maloney, D., Freeman G. and Robb, A. (2021). "Social Virtual Reality: Ethical Considerations and Future Directions for An Emerging Research Space," *2021 IEEE Conference on Virtual Reality and 3D User Interfaces Abstracts and Workshops (VRW)*, Lisbon, Portugal, , pp. 271-277, doi:10.1109/VRW52623.2021.00056
- Prashant, M. (2025). The Soul of the AI: Governance, Ethics, and the Future of Human–AI Integration. Zenodo, 978-93-343-2333-7. [10.5281/zenodo.15789678](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15789678). [hal-05140138](https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-05140138)
- Radanliev, P. (2025). AI Ethics: Integrating Transparency, Fairness, and Privacy in AI Development. *Applied Artificial Intelligence*, 39(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/08839514.2025.2463722>
- Rahman, H. (2025). The Ethical Dimensions of Digital Interactions. In H. Rahman (Ed.), *Digital Citizenship and Building a Responsible Online Presence* (pp. 123-164). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-6675-2.ch005>
- Renuka, O., RadhaKrishnan, N., Priya, B.S., Jhansy, A. and Ezekiel, S. (2025). Data Privacy and Protection. In *Emerging Threats and Countermeasures in Cybersecurity* (eds G. Shrivastava, R.P. Ojha, S. Awasthi, H. Bansal and K. Sharma). <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781394230600.ch19>
- Romanishyn A., Malytska O., Goncharuk V. (2025). AI-driven disinformation: policy recommendations for democratic resilience. *Front Artif Intell*. Jul 31;8:1569115. doi: 10.3389/frai.2025.1569115
- Romão, J. (2025). "7: How big is your data? Critical remarks on Big Data analytics and co-creation processes in smart urban tourism research". In *Handbook on Big Data, Artificial Intelligence and Cities*. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar Publishing. Retrieved Oct 13, 2025, from <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781803928050.00014>
- Sasidharan, D. C. (2025). Amplifying Unheard Voices: Exploring Representation Gaps in Indian Social Media Spaces. In H. Rahman (Ed.), *Digital Citizenship and Building a Responsible Online Presence* (pp. 259-284). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-6675-2.ch010>
- Saura, J.R., Palacios-Marqués, D. & Ribeiro-Soriano, D. (2025). Privacy concerns in social media UGC communities: Understanding user behavior sentiments in complex networks. *Inf Syst E-Bus Manage* 23, 125–145 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10257-023-00631-5>
- Tariq, M. U. (2025). Ethics and Conduct: Navigating the Moral Landscape of Virtual. In D. Darwish (Ed.), *Building Power, Safety, and Trust in Virtual Communities* (pp. 297-332). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-3868-1.ch014>
- Tripathi, P. & Malik, A. A. (2025). Ethical and Social Dimensions of 6G Deployment. In S. Haque & S. Singh (Eds.), *6G Impacts on Natural Habitats and Human Life* (pp. London *Journal of Social Sciences*, 2026-11



401-434). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

<https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-2220-9.ch014>

